



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/630,976	08/02/2000	Cary Lee Bates	ROC920000102	7828
7590 05/20/2004		EXAMINER		
Gero G McClellan			DUONG, OANH L	
Thomason Moser & Patterson LLP Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3040 Post Oak Boulevard			2155	
Houston, TX 77056-6582			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>y</i> .	1		PRG		
٠	Application N	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/630,976	BATES ET AL.	_		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Oanh L. Duong	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE THEORY FILED 07 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THEORY FILED 10 PLACE T	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme	s application. A proper reply ent which places the applicat	to a ion in		
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mai	iling date of the final rejection.	f in the final releation whi	oboverie leter . le		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	re later than SIX MONTHS from AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT the date on which the petition und d of extension and the correspor of the shortened statutory period office later than three months after	the mailing date of the final rejection  HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.  Define the appropriate appropriat	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appelland 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)	t's Brief must be filed with FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis	in the period set forth in missal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a)  they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or sin	nplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding num	nber of finally rejected claims	<b>S</b> .		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	lld be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed a	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fapplication in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has be See Continuation Sheet.	en considered but does NO	Γ place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)□ will not be ente would be rejected is provi	red or b)⊡ will be entered a ded below or appended.	nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:	:			
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-10, 12-18 and 26-30</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) are	pproved or b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)			
10. Other:					
<del>-</del> <del></del>					



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' argument is not persuasive. In the remark, applicants argue in substance that

(A) Prior art does not show or suggest changing browser configurations based on time-based settings.

As to point (A), examiner has given the broadest reasonable interpretation of browser as a desktop GUI of Pickover since applicants have not defined in the claimed invention that the browser is a web browser such as Internet Explorer or Netscape Navigator. In addition, the browser is an integral part of the Microsoft Windows operating system to perform updates to web sites that are subscribed to based on schedule times as taught by Pickover (col. 6 lines 45-50). Therefore, Pickover does teach changing browser configurations based on time-based settings (...alter the scheduling times of one or more web pages represented by GUI icons...Icons colors may also be controlled by the operating system and may change when the operating system changes the update schedule times of web links represented by icons, col. 2 lines 47-57, col. 4 lines 13-47)...

HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER